FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0007 Title: Eliminate water adjudication fee and fund

through general fund

Primary Sponsor: Barrett D Status: As Introduced

Sponsor signature	Date	David Ewer, Budget Dire	ctor Date
Fiscal Summary			
		FY 2006	FY 2007
Expenditures:		<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
General Fund		\$31,000,000	\$0
State Special Revenue		\$0	\$0
Revenue:			
General Fund		(\$31,000,000)	\$0
State Special Revenue		\$27,900,000	(\$3,100,000)
Net Impact on General Fund Bala	ance:	(\$31,000,000)	\$0
Significant Local Gov. Impact		Technic	eal Concerns
☐ Included in the Executive Budget	į	Signific	cant Long-Term Impacts
Dedicated Revenue Form Attache	ed	☐ Needs t	to be included in HB 2

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC)

- 1. It is assumed all previously established benchmarks will be met.
- 2. Agency expenditures will continue at the same rate assumed for HB 22 from the 2005 regular session. (\$1,991,600 per year)
- 3. The \$31,000,000 will be transferred into the state special revenue fund and the water adjudication fee will not be collected.
- 4. There were 39 FTE per fiscal year authorized in HB 2 (2005 Legislature) to be paid from the water adjudication fee account. These FTE will now be authorized and funded by the appropriation in HB 7.

Judicial Branch - Water Court

- 5. It is assumed all previously established benchmarks will be met.
- 6. Agency expenditures will continue at the same rate assumed for HB 22 from the 2005 regular session. (approximately \$400,000 per year)

Fiscal Note Request HB0007, As Introduced

(continued)

7. There were 6.50 FTE in FY 2006 and 7.00 FTE in FY 2007 authorized in HB 2 (2005 Legislature) to be paid from the water adjudication fee account. These FTE will now be authorized and funded by the appropriation in HB 7.

Other Agencies

8. A number of state agencies own water rights and are required to pay the fee implemented by HB 22 from the 2005 regular session. Affected agencies include the Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (\$177,700), the Department of Environmental Quality (\$16,260), and others. If the fee is eliminated the agencies will not be required to pay the fee.

FISCAL IMPACT:

FISCAL IVII ACT.	FY 2006 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2007 <u>Difference</u>
Department of Natural Resources and Conservation	on	
General Fund Transfer		
Expenditures:		
Transfers	\$31,000,000	\$0
Funding of Expenditures:		
General Fund (01)	\$31,000,000	\$0
Department of Natural Resources and Conservation	on	
State Special Revenue Expenditures		
Expenditures:		
Personal Services	\$0	\$0
Operating Expenses	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
TOTAL	\$0	\$0
Funding of Expenditures:		
State Special Revenue (from General Fund Transfer)	\$1,991,600	\$1,991,600
State Special Revenue (Water Adjudication Fees)	(\$1,991,600)	<u>(\$1,991,600)</u>
TOTAL	\$0	\$0
Revenues:		
State Special Revenue (from General Fund Transfer)	\$31,000,000	\$0
State Special Revenue (Water Adjudication Fees)	(\$3,100,000)	(\$3,100,000)
Judicial Branch – Water Court		
State Special Revenue Expenditures		
Expenditures:		
Personal Services	\$0	\$0
Operating Expenses	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
TOTAL	\$0	\$0

Fiscal Note Request HB0007, As Introduced

(continued)

Funding of Expenditures:

State Special Revenue (from General Fund Transfer)	\$413,261	\$404,299
State Special Revenue (Water Adjudication Fees)	(\$413,261)	(\$404,299)
TOTAL	\$0	\$0

Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):

General Fund (01)	(\$31,000,000)	-
State Special Revenue (02)	\$27,900,000	(\$3,100,000)

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

The bill provides for total revenues of \$31.0 million to fund operations for a 15-year period. The projected revenues would fund the costs of DNRC and the Water Court. Expenditures for DNRC to complete the examination are projected to be approximately \$2.0 million each year for 10 years (a total of \$19.9 million) and \$0.7 million each year for 5 years of post decree assistance (a total of \$3.5 million) to the Water Court that would continue to June 30, 2020 when this act terminates. The Water Court would have additional costs.